Silica modification of titania nanoparticles enhances photocatalytic production of reactive oxygen species without increasing toxicity potential in vitro
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Titania (TiO₂) nanoparticles were surface modified using silica and citrate to implement a ‘safe-by-design’ approach for managing potential toxicity of titania nanoparticles by controlling surface redox reactivity. DLS and zeta-potential analyses confirmed the surface modification, and electron microscopy and surface area measurements demonstrated nanoscale dimensions of the particles. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was used to determine the exogenous generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). All the produced spray dried nanotitania lowered levels of ROS when compared to the corresponding dispersed nanotitania, suggesting that the spray drying process is an appropriate design strategy for the control of nano TiO₂ ROS reactivity. The modification of nanotitania with silica and with citrate resulted in increased levels of ROS generation in exogenous measurements, including photoexcitation for 60 minutes. The dichlorodihydrofluorescein (DCFH) assay of dose-dependent production of oxidative stress, generated by pristine and modified nanotitania in macrophages and alveolar epithelial cells, found no significant change in toxicity originating from the generation of reactive oxygen species. Our findings show that there is no direct correlation between the photocatalytic activity of nanotitania and its oxidative stress-mediated potential toxicity, and it is possible to improve the former, for example adding silica as a modifying agent, without altering the cell redox equilibrium.

Introduction

In recent years, the presence of nanomaterials (NMs) in biological systems (bio-accessibility or bioavailability) has risen due to the increasing use of these NMs in a large number of advanced applications as a key to industrial innovation. There is also the potential for many of these NMs to produce detrimental effects on human health and the environment. This necessitates paying close attention to safety issues pertaining to NMs and their further development. Nanoparticles (NPs) can exert toxicity through oxidative stress, inflammation, genetic damage, inhibition of cell division and cell death. For example, photocatalytic NPs of semiconducting oxides, such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂) and zinc oxide (ZnO), have found extensive use in applications prohibiting cellular growth and enabling self-sterilization. The challenge in the field of using photocatalytic particles remains in finding appropriate conditions, e.g., the amount of local surface charge that will initiate a desired, or inhibit an undesired biological action. The above holds true for metal oxide NPs which have widespread use in industry for numerous applications ranging from sunscreens, pigments and construction materials for solar cells.

Evidence is abundant within the literature that changes in structural and physicochemical properties of NPs can modify their biological activities, especially in relation to exogenous and endogenous generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), one of the most frequently reported NP-associated toxicity mechanism. Relatively rare is the endeavour in using these changes as a means to design NMs that would manage the safety concern of these NPs. Reactive oxygen species are known to be able to cause oxidative damages to key structures and components of cells including DNA, proteins and lipids thus leading to significant functional changes of the cell as well as a disruption of cell...
signalling, induction of inflammatory pathways, apoptosis and cell death.\textsuperscript{14} Many studies have found that such oxidative stress is a prominent feature of the cellular response to TiO\textsubscript{2} NPs,\textsuperscript{15} particularly when illuminated with ultraviolet (UV) light.\textsuperscript{16,17} A direct, quantitative, correlation between extracellular and cellular pro-oxidant responses is highly desired, but currently lacking,\textsuperscript{18} due to the sheer extent of the physicochemical properties of NM (e.g. size, shape, structure, and chemistry of the core and the coating/shell) that can impact biological effects such as toxicity within a complex biological matrix.

Despite the limited knowledge of such a correlation, surface modification of NPs has emerged as a potential method to implement a “Safe-by-Design” (SbD) approach to minimise unwanted biological activity such as NP toxicity. This approach can be a vital tool in the governance of nano-safety. Examples of modification strategies include silica coating of potentially toxic NPs due to the chemical inertia,\textsuperscript{19} biocompatibility\textsuperscript{20} and low toxicity\textsuperscript{21} of silica as well as its ability to create mesoporous structure\textsuperscript{22} and control ROS production.\textsuperscript{23} In addition, citrate coating has been used as an organic coating strategy, due to the hydrophilicity and negative surface charge of citrates, that leads to an increased ability of dispersing NPs in an aqueous medium, a strong specific interaction with the hydroxyl groups in NPs, and an electrostatic hindrance to the contact of NPs with negatively charged cellular membrane.\textsuperscript{24–26}

The quantitative determination of the impact of such modifications of physicochemical properties by SbD approach on functional properties is important in forecasting potential biological responses using established in vitro toxicity models.\textsuperscript{27} The generation of ROS by engineered NPs can be determined either by exogenous, acellular factors or endogenous factors. Exogenous factors include intrinsic reactivity of NPs depending on structural characteristics as well as composition, activation by UV light, and/or a presence of metal impurities on the surface. On the other hand, endogenous factors include the ability to interfere with cellular redox machinery so inducing an excess of ROS production by target and inflammatory cells, processes in which mitochondrial respiration and activation of NAD(P)H-like enzyme systems are thought to be involved.\textsuperscript{28,29}

In the present work, we use the exogenous approach to obtain a quantitative insight into the implementation of SbD approach in managing ROS production by titania NPs to induce cellular oxidative stress. The propensity of different modified TiO\textsubscript{2} to produce ROS in abiotic condition is evaluated through electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) analysis using Tempone-H as the spin trap molecule. EPR provides a sensitive and relatively high-throughput means to test a panel of modified TiO\textsubscript{2} for photocatalytic ROS production. Findings from EPR were compared with that of the cellular dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) assay for oxidative stress without any photoexcitation as it would be normal in an in vivo condition without any internal photoexcitation source. Both macrophages and epithelial cells were used for in vitro identification of the main predictors (colloidal/structural properties, spin-trapped ROS) which best describe the cellular response.

Results and discussion

Characterisation of colloidal nanosols

The optimal conditions for the self-assembled heterocoagulation process between different colloidal phases occur when such species exhibit, at the working pH, \(\zeta\) potentials opposite in sign and high enough to preserve colloidal stabilization and avoid homocoagulation. These conditions are fulfilled at pHs lower than the isoelectric point of TiO\textsubscript{2} (pH 7.1), where TiO\textsubscript{2} and SiO\textsubscript{2} present positive and negative \(\zeta\) potentials, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 1). SiO\textsubscript{2} colloidal stabilization was decreased at pH 4.0, promoting the silica coagulation over nanotitania surface. The increase of the hydrodynamic diameter with the progressive addition of SiO\textsubscript{2} (Table 1) is caused both by the steric hindrance due to SiO\textsubscript{2} particles heterocoagulated on the TiO\textsubscript{2} surface\textsuperscript{30,31} and by the electrostatic destabilization induced by the neutralization of TiO\textsubscript{2} positively-charged surface with the SiO\textsubscript{2} negative charged particles. Since the \(\zeta\) potential of the nanocolloidal systems is strictly linked to their agglomeration grade\textsuperscript{32} the relationship between particle size and the predicted surface charge is complex. However, a decreasing \(\zeta\) potential was observed for higher amounts of SiO\textsubscript{2}, and the failure to achieve negative \(\zeta\) potential for TiO\textsubscript{2} : SiO\textsubscript{2} : 1 in this work, and the formation of TiO\textsubscript{2}/SiO\textsubscript{2} matrix encapsulation structures\textsuperscript{33} despite a typical core–shell structure, which were confirmed by STEM (Fig. 2c). In fact, TiO\textsubscript{2} : SiO\textsubscript{2} : 5 exhibited a random distribution of small TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\text{pH})</th>
<th>(d_{\text{DLS}}) (nm)</th>
<th>(\zeta) potential (mV)</th>
<th>(\text{pH}_{\text{e.c.p.}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2} : SiO\textsubscript{2} : 3_COL</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>53 ± 0.9</td>
<td>+38 ± 1.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2} : SiO\textsubscript{2} : 1 COL</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>110 ± 0.9</td>
<td>+31 ± 1.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2} : SiO\textsubscript{2} : 5 COL</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>577 ± 39</td>
<td>+25 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2} : CIT : 0.8 COL</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>64 ± 0.9</td>
<td>−38 ± 1.9</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO\textsubscript{2} COL</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>20 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−42 ± 2.2</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) nd: not determined.
In the specific 4.82 ± 0.74 nm) within a silica matrix composed by particles of about 20 nm (18.98 ± 1.47 nm) of overall diameter (Fig. 2b). The pristine sample, TiO₂_COL, (Fig. 2a) was confirmed to have a diameter of ~5 nm for the primary particles, which appear clearly agglomerated, as a consequence of the sample preparation process for the microscope analysis.

The citrate added to the sample TiO₂ : CIT_1 : 0.8_COL acted as dispersant/capping agent, without any change in hydrodynamic diameter or colloidal stability over time, compared to the pristine titania. The negative ζ potential assessed for this sample (~38 mV) is consistent with the formation of a uniform negatively-charged citrate coating around TiO₂ nanoparticles.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>S_{BET} (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>ζ potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂_SD</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>+43 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ : SiO₂_1 : 3_SD</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>+22 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ : SiO₂_1 : 5_SD</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>−15 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂_SD</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>−34 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characterisation of spray-dried powders

SEM images of the particles prepared from the commercial titania nanosol (TiO₂_COL) pointed out dimensions in the range of 1–15 micrometres, with irregular surface hollows, as typical of spray dried particles derived from suspensions containing salts. Despite the micrometric size, the assessed surface area is of 154 m² g⁻¹ (Table 2), suggesting that the surface of the micrometric particles is actually nanostructured due to the collapsed nanoparticles contained in the starting nanosol.

The nanostructured surface, as demonstrated by a high BET value and SEM analysis (Fig. 3e), was clearly verified for all the
spray dried samples. Some differences in the particle shapes were observed for increasing SiO2 contents. For example, the spray-dried sample SiO2_SD obtained from the sol containing SiO2 only, was micrometric in size with high specific surface area (174 m² g⁻¹) (Table 2), but with a highly regular and spherical or 'donut' shape (Fig. 3d). The spray dried powders TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD and TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5_SD displaying different SiO2 : TiO2 ratios (3 and 5 : 1, respectively) exhibited a progressive regular morphology (Fig. 3b and c) with SiO2 increasing, with a size from 2–20 micrometres and high BET specific surface areas of 156 and 175 m² g⁻¹ (Table 2).

Potential measurements performed after spray drying confirmed both for TiO2 SD and for TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD positive values, as already assessed on the heterocoagulated suspension form (Table 2). However, the spray dried sample with a large excess of SiO2 (TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5_SD) had a negative z potential (Table 2), i.e., a charge inversion with respect to the corresponding sample before spray drying, TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5_COL. This behaviour is consistent with the presence of a more uniform and shielding SiO2 coating formed on the TiO2 surface during heat drying step.

### ROS detection from acellular system by EPR measurements

EPR experiments with different concentrations and UV irradiation times showed a linear correlation between EPR intensity and UV irradiation time. Due to the linearity, using an irradiation time of 60 minutes the optimal EPR signal, was provided and used to compare the different NPs. The SiO2 samples (SiO2.COL and SiO2.SD) did not generate any ROS species under UV irradiation.

In colloidal samples, after normalization in TiO2 content, the silica doped surfaces led to greater values of ROS even though SiO2 alone is not photoactive during UV irradiation (Fig. 4). Similarly, a slight ROS production increase if compared to pristine TiO2 (TiO2.COL), was observed in citrate-modified sample (TiO2 : CIT_1 : 0.8.COL). Moreover, among all the samples, the colloidal sols had a greater reactivity and were more photoactive than the spray-dried powders (Fig. 4). The last observation is not surprising, if considering the decrease of free, available, surface reactive sites when passing from the dispersed nano particles to the agglomerated structures of granulated spray-dried micro particles.

In assessing the impact of UV irradiation of coated and uncoated TiO2, analysing the data in Fig. 4, the silica coating appears to cause an enhancement of ROS production, despite silica is not able to produce oxygen radicals on its own. This behaviour could be ascribed to the potential ability of silica to prevent the radical recombination through the formation of hydrogen peroxide molecules, as elsewhere reported.42 Photo-absorption in TiO2 produces, in fact, conduction band electrons (e⁻) and valence band holes (h⁺) (eqn (1)). The quantity of H2O2 produced by the chain reactions comes from both the reduction of the oxygen molecules by the conduction band electrons (eqn (2) and (3)) and by water oxidation by the valence band holes (eqn (4) and (5)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TiO}_2 + h^+ & \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 (e^- + h^+) \quad (1) \\
e^- + O_2 & \rightarrow \cdot O_2^- \quad (2) \\
\cdot O_2^- + e^- + 2H^+ & \rightarrow H_2O_2 \quad (3) \\
H_2O_2 + e^- & \rightarrow \cdot OH + OH^- \quad (3') \\
h^+ + H_2O & \rightarrow \cdot OH + H^+ \quad (4) \\
\cdot OH + h^+ + H_2O & \rightarrow H_2O_2 + H^+ \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

The authors hypothesized that silica coating decreased the H2O2 formation, possibly through inhibition of the reactions (3) and (5), thus increasing the availability of intermediate radicals, O2⁻ and ·OH, as detected by EPR in the present study.

### Cellular oxidative stress

The potential of pristine and modified NPs to induce oxidative stress in cells was assessed using the DCFH-DA assay in both macrophage (RAW 264.7) and epithelial cell (A549) lines (Fig. 5 and 6). In macrophages the pristine material (TiO2.COL) dose dependently increased DCFH oxidation. However, due to the variations in the amplitude of the response the results were not statistically significant from control. In contrast, TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD dose dependently and significantly induced oxidative stress in macrophages, and the effect was statistically significant at the highest dose tested (40 μg cm⁻²). None of the other tested NPs induced significant levels of DCFH oxidation in macrophages. The benchmark material P25 TiO2 slightly increased the level of oxidative stress in macrophages (up to 1.2-fold), however, the results were not statistically significant compared to the control (Fig. 5).

In alveolar epithelial cells (Fig. 6), none of the tested compounds dose-dependently changed the degree of DCFH oxidation in a significant way. Importantly, TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD increased the levels of ROS in alveolar epithelial cells, as seen in macrophages, but the results did not reach statistical significance (at 40 μg cm⁻²).

In colloidal nanosols, the presence of silica gave indications of oxidative stress, albeit modestly. In contrast, for spray-dried samples, the presence of silica slightly increased the oxidative stress, with the highest activity again shown by TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD sample. However, a dose dependent trend in oxidative

![Fig. 4 ROS production estimated by the line slope of EPR signal intensity as a function of TiO2 concentration.](image-url)
stress in response to silica content or in the presence of citrate as surface modifier was not observed (Fig. 5 and 6).

The effects of P25 TiO2 on oxidative stress, as assessed by the DCFH assay, have been reported in the literature. However, contradictory findings are frequent, demonstrating the difficulty to determine specific reactivity inherent to ROS and oxidative stress in nano-sized samples, especially due to the lack of consistency between experimental conditions and time points. For example, Kroll et al. showed that P25 TiO2 induced oxidative stress to some extent in four different cell lines after 1 h incubation, but they did not find evidence of any effect either in macrophages or in alveolar epithelial cells.45

In macrophages, Kang et al. showed that a 4 hour incubation of 0.5 to 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\) P25 TiO2 induced oxidative stress, yet by 24 hours, oxidative stress was evident only for 5 and 25 μg mL\(^{-1}\) P25 TiO2. The authors also used fluorescent microscopy with another probe, dihydroethidium, demonstrating oxidative stress in macrophages treated with P25 TiO2 for 30 minutes.46 Contrary to the findings of Kroll et al.,45 using alveolar epithelial cells, signs of oxidative stress have been reported47 following incubation for 1 h with P25 TiO2 1.5 μg cm\(^{-2}\). Other studies using alveolar epithelial cells have shown induction of oxidative stress following incubation with P25 TiO2 at 2 h and 24 h, however the doses used were not directly comparable.48 Here, amorphous silica on its own did not induce any form of oxidative stress in alveolar epithelial cells and macrophages. In macrophages, Yang et al. showed that 20 nm silica nanoparticles induced oxidative stress at concentrations higher than those tested in the present study.49 Sohaebuddin et al.50 showed that 30 nm SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles induced oxidative stress in macrophages after 2 h incubation at 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\). Others found out that SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles dose dependently induced oxidative stress after longer periods of incubation (48–72 h) in alveolar epithelial cells.51,52 In some cases, these results were gathered from longer incubation time using particles concentrations higher than 40 μg cm\(^{-2}\), the highest dose of the present study.

Experimental

Materials

The following commercial products were used for the preparation of TiO\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\) samples: TiO\(_2\) colloidal nano-suspension ("TiO\(_2\)_COL") containing 6 wt% TiO\(_2\), (anatase 84%, brookite 16%) was provided by Colorobbia, Italy and SiO\(_2\) colloidal nano-suspension ("SiO\(_2\)_COL") Ludox HS-40® containing 40 wt% SiO\(_2\) by Grace Davison, USA. The nanopowder AEROSIL® TiO\(_2\) P25 (anatase 83% rutile 17%) was used as reference material. Tempone-H hydrochloride (1-hydroxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-oxo-piperidine·HCl) was purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Exeter, UK).

Preparation of modified TiO\(_2\) samples

The commercial colloidal nano-suspensions (nansols) were diluted with deionised water (TiO\(_2\) and SiO\(_2\) nansols to 3 wt%) and, in the case of SiO\(_2\) nansol, acidified by cationic
exchange on resin at pH 4. Titania and silica nanosols were then mixed in defined ratios (TiO2 : SiO2 weight ratios 1 : 3 and 1 : 5) and ball-milled for 24 hours with 5 mm diameter zirconia spheres as milling media in order to form silica modified “TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3" and “TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5" samples through a self-assembled heterocoagulation process. In order to increase the adhesion of silica NPs to the surfaces of TiO2 NPs, the heterocoagulated nanosols were spray-dried in counterflow with a stream of hot air (220 °C) through a nozzle of 500 μm diameter (Fig. 7) to obtain spray-dried powder with TiO2 : SiO2 weight ratios 1 : 3 and 1 : 5 (“TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD" and “TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5_SD", respectively). Pristine nanosols: TiO2 and SiO2 were also spray-dried, (“TiO2_SD" and “SiO2_SD").

Organic modification was achieved through citrate coating. The sample was prepared adding trisodium citrate dihydrate (Cit) to TiO2_COL with TiO2 : Cit weight ratio 1 : 0.8, with a TiO2 concentration of 3 wt% via self-assembled monolayer formation obtaining “TiO2 : CIT_1 : 0.8_COL" sample. The mechanical stirring process was continued for 15 h to promote the re-dispersion of TiO2 NPs.

Characterisation of colloidal nanosols

Hydrodynamic diameter and ζ potential of tested NPs (1 g L⁻¹ in deionized water) were evaluated with a Zetasizer Nano ZSP (model ZEN5600, Malvern Instruments, UK). Hydrodynamic diameters obtained from dynamic light scattering (DLS) data (d₅₀,₃) were derived from a measurement angle of 173° and automatic measurement duration. After 2 min temperature equilibration step (25 °C), 1 mL of sample volume was subjected to three consecutive measurements and particle size distribution by intensity was obtained by averaging these measurements. ζ potential measurements were performed on 700 μL sample at 25 °C by Electrophoretic Light Scattering (ELS) technique. Smoluchowski equation was applied to convert the electrophoretic mobility to ζ potential. After a 2 min temperature equilibration step, the samples underwent three measurements and ζ potential value was obtained by averaging these measurements. ζ potential of nanosols as a function of pH, was derived from Zetasizer Nano ZSP equipped with an automatic titrating system, by addition of 0.1 M KOH solution to TiO2 based nanosols and 0.1 M HCl to SiO2 nanosol (experimental uncertainty: 1 mV for ζ potential and 0.2 for pH). The ζ potential vs. pH titrations allowed identification of the isoelectric point (i.e.p.); the pH at which ζ potential sets to zero (pH i.e.p.). Morphological analysis was carried out by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a JEOL JEM-2100F multipurpose, high resolution, electron microscope with a field emission source operating between 80-200 kV. The nanoparticles were taken directly from the sols, placed on holey carbon grids for TEM analysis and air-dried at room temperature in a sealed environment for 2 hours.

Characterisation of spray-dried powders

The powder morphology was analysed using a scanning electron microscope (Leica, Cambridge Stereoscan 360, UK). Samples were prepared by placing powders on graphite double-sided adhesive into the aluminium stub. Surface area of spray-dried powders was measured by nitrogen adsorption (BET) (Sorpty 1750, Carlo Erba).

EPR analysis

Preparation of nano-TiO2 based suspensions. Samples were suspended in a buffered physiological saline solution (PSS; composition: 118.4 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 11 mM glucose, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄ and 2.5 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4), in order to simulate the physiological and biological environment, and the measurements were performed at the same pH value. For all the samples, the buffer solution was diluted 1 : 100, in order to avoid a destabilization of NP dispersion due to the electrostatic double layer compression. All the suspensions were dispersed by sonication bath (US 70; Philip Harris Scientific, Lichfield, UK) for 15 min, at room temperature. The following suspensions at concentrations of: 200, 300, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000 and 5000 μg mL⁻¹, starting from a stock suspension of 10 mg L⁻¹, were tested in order to obtain an analytical signal significantly different from the blank one. The analysed suspensions are reported in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Physical form</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO2_SD</td>
<td>Spray-dried</td>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2_SD</td>
<td>Spray-dried</td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5_SD</td>
<td>Spray-dried</td>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2 = 1 : 5</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_SD</td>
<td>Spray-dried</td>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2 = 1 : 3</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2_COL</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2_COL</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 5_COL</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2 = 1 : 5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2_1 : 3_COL</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>TiO2 : SiO2 = 1 : 3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2 : CIT_1 : 0.8_COL</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>TiO2 : citrate = 1 : 0.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: not determined.
EPR spectroscopy with Tempone-H hydrochloride. Tempone-H hydrochloride (1-hydroxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-oxo-piperidine·HCl)\(^{14}\) (Fig. 8a) was used as spin-trap molecule to create very stable radicals in aqueous solution for quantification during the time analyses. Tempone-H has preferential selectivity towards superoxide radicals (O\(^{2-}\)) and hydroxyl radicals (OH\(^{-}\)), with greater sensitivity than many conventional spin-traps (e.g. DMPO).\(^{35,36}\) The spin-trap was dissolved in a 0.01 M EDTA (final concentration 0.1 mM) to minimize metal-ion-induced autodioxidation.

Pyrogallol (320 \(\mu\)M in physiological saline solution) was used as positive control to assess the generation of superoxide radicals during the time analysis.\(^{37}\) Samples were kept at 37 °C (in order to simulate body temperature) and the measurements taken by withdrawing a 50 \(\mu\)L sample into a capillary tube (Scientific Laboratory Ltd., Coatbridge, UK) plugged with Cristaseal (VWR International, Lutterworth, UK). The intensity of peaks of the characteristic 3 peaked EPR spectra (Fig. 8b) was taken at 0, 20, 40 and 60 minutes of irradiation through a mercury UV lamp (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 254\) nm). The blank value (PSS and spin-trap alone) was subtracted. For the colloidal nanosol samples, the value of blank in presence of HCl 0.01 M was subtracted in order to simulate the pH of TiO\(_2\) suspensions. All the samples were analysed three times, the values were obtained by averaging these measurements. In order to make a comparison of the reactivity of the different samples, the EPR signal intensity was plotted as a function of nanoparticles concentration at 60 minutes UV irradiation time, normalizing all the data to the TiO\(_2\) content, and reporting the slope of the relative curves.

The typical EPR parameters used were as follows: microwave frequency 9.3–9.55 Hz, microwave power 20 MW, modulation frequency 100 kHz, modulation amplitude 1500 Mg, center field 3365 G, sweep width 50 G, sweep time 30 s and number of passes 133.

Cellular oxidative stress

The ability of the samples to induce ROS production in an acellular system was tested using the DCFH assay in both murine RAW 264.7 macrophages and human A549 epithelial cells (ATCC, LGC Standards, UK). The cells were sub-cultured in RPMI 1640 Medium (Lonza, UK), supplemented with 10% heat inactivated foetal bovine serum (Gibco, UK), penicillin/streptomycin (100 U mL\(^{-1}\); 100 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)) and 2 mM l-glutamine (Sigma, Poole, UK).

Contrary to the gathered EPR data, the DCFH assay is performed in cell culture medium which contains some antioxidants. The membrane permeable dichlorodihydro-fluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) probe was used to measure the ability of materials to induce oxidative stress in cells. After internalization, intracellular esterases cleave the diacetate moiety, thus causing probe retention and making it sensitive to ROS. DCFH was determined fluorometrically in cell lysates according to a previously described procedure,\(^{38,39}\) with minor modifications. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates and treated for 24 h with RPMI cell culture medium with or without the tested materials at concentrations of 10, 20 and 40 \(\mu\)g cm\(^{-2}\). These sub-lethal concentrations were selected based on dose-response (2.5–80 \(\mu\)g cm\(^{-2}\)) cytotoxicity analysis using the AlamarBlue® (Invitrogen, UK) and lactate dehydrogenase (Roche Diagnostics Ltd., Burgess Hill, UK) assays (data not shown). After being washed twice in sodium chloride (0.9%), cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a solution of DCFH-DA (10 \(\mu\)M in sodium chloride) to allow internalization of the probe into the cell cytoplasm. Cells were then washed with sodium chloride and lysed in 90% DMSO in PBS. Plates were centrifuged at 300g for 15 min to remove cellular debris and particulates. The fluorescence was measured in the supernatants (\(\lambda_{\text{ex}} 485\) nm; \(\lambda_{\text{em}} 530\) nm) using a plate reader (Fluostar Optima, BMG Labtech, Aylesbury, UK). Results were expressed as change in relative fluorescence units (RFU) compared to untreated control. Using the same procedure, cells were prepared without the probe to check the material interference.

Conclusions

The influence of different TiO\(_2\) surface coatings and agglomeration state on ROS production was investigated using an acellular (EPR) and cellular assay (DCFH assay). The data of EPR analysis showed that silica coating increased the amount of ROS generated, proportionally to the amount of silica added. This trend was verified both for liquid samples in form of colloidal suspensions and for powdered samples achieved after spray drying processing. These findings likely derive from the ability of the silica layer to hinder radicals’ recombination with the development of H\(_2\)O\(_2\), so causing the accumulation of by-products O\(^{2-}\) and ·OH. The spray drying process provided an important action in terms of ROS mitigation, in fact the spray dried samples showed lower levels of ROS production as compared to the colloidal suspensions which was observed both for TiO\(_2\) and for TiO\(_2\)-SiO\(_2\) samples. Despite the antioxidant property of citrate, the citrate coating only caused a slight improvement in ROS production compared to uncoated TiO\(_2\), although the increase was minor compared to the silica effect.

In vitro assessment of oxidative stress in alveolar epithelial cells and macrophages showed a decrease of ROS in the silica-
added samples, but in contrast a ROS increasing detected on all the spray dried samples. The trends found in acellular tests are, however, different from those in DCFH-DA cellular assay, due to the absence of any photo-excitation in the cellular assay. Otherwise in cells exposed to silica modified NPs, it was detected a slight decrease of oxidative stress even if no significant relationships between surface modifiers and the DCFH response could be detected. More generally, the modified forms did not attenuate the modest oxidative stress elicited by the pristine material in vitro cellular assay. This is significant from a sbd approach that shows that modifying pristine titania by silica can actually enhance photocatalytic activity without any significant deterioration of its biological impact.
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