Q. What is the history of Frontend and their design activities in Ireland and internationally?

Frontend was founded in Dublin in 1998 by a core group of industrial designers. At that time the internet was pretty new and in many ways poorly understood. Back then, companies were content with recreating versions of their printed brochures online, and there were plenty of digital agencies doing this kind of work. Very little consideration was given to what users wanted to do, or how the online environment could be used more effectively. At Frontend, there was recognition of the potential for the internet to do a lot more, to deliver services and functionality directly to users. But for these services to be effective, they would need to be designed in a way that was easy for users to understand and easy for them to use.

So Frontend was set up as a different type of design agency. Our focus was on User Experience. We placed a heavy emphasis on user research, task-flow and designing better interactions. We wanted to involve the end user in our design process so we started testing our solutions with them to understand how it could be improved. UX design was a far more difficult concept to sell back then, and we had a lot of explaining to do. Not everyone understood our way of working but gradually the concept began to take hold.

Q. Can you speak about how Frontend developed as a small start-up and then on to a leading award winning design studio with an international client base?

Well, it’s been a bit of a rollercoaster ride really. The studio grew very rapidly in the first 2 years. Not only were we the first UX agency in Ireland, but one of the first in Europe – as the business potential of the web started to grow, the work began to roll in. In 1999, we designed the first business internet banking interface for Barclays Bank in the UK. Around the same time we began work on the first interactive digital TV platform for UPC in the Netherlands. We beat off stiff competition to win a major contract with HP in Barcelona, providing UX for their inkjet printer division. Reuters, BBC, GSM quickly followed. It seemed like we could do no wrong.

Before we knew it we had around 35 staff in the studio – everything was booming, and in September 2001 it all went BANG! The dotcom bubble burst. Our sales dropped by 80% in one month – suddenly it became a struggle to survive. All around us, clients folded and invoices went unpaid. Lots of design agencies closed, others, ourselves included were forced to downsize dramatically – nothing we had experienced before prepared us for what was happening around us.

It was touch and go for the next few years. We were scrambling day-to-day to keep the business alive while at the same time trying to figure out a more strategic solution. The initial temptation was to broaden our focus, increasing the volume of work coming through – but we felt that would dilute our brand. The alternative was to increase the value of our work. To do this we needed to become even more specialised. We chose the latter route, carefully selecting the work we took on, focusing on strategically important industries such as finance, software and healthcare, while at the same time turning
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down a lot more tactical web work. By honing our expertise in these fields, we were able to compete with the bigger international competitors. As the UX design field gradually recovered, we were well placed to capitalise and today our business has a predominantly international focus. At last count we had client work in 20 countries spanning USA, Europe and Asia.

Q. Does Frontend have a core design philosophy and how is it expressed?
Well, obviously the user-centred design philosophy is central to everything we do. We tackle problems from a user perspective and validate solutions with real users along the way. However, due to the nature of our work, which is often more business orientated, we need to place an emphasis on the more technical interface requirements as well. I think we strive harder than most to create solutions that optimise commercial performance as well as delivering good UX. Research is a huge part of that design philosophy - you can only innovate from a position of complete understanding. It can be difficult as a consultancy to secure budgets for research, however, awareness among clients on the importance of research in UX projects has grown massively in the last few years. It would not be unusual for us now to allocate 50% of individual project costs to user research.

From an aesthetic perspective we have a minimalist approach, good design is often invisible; this is especially true of interaction design. It’s not about how the interface looks, but more about how it flows and how it adapts over time to the user’s evolving needs. Good design is a formative element of the user experience and it should mature and adapt over time. This is the ultimate UX design goal.

Q. How does the Frontend ‘brand’ position itself in the emergent and established worlds of User Experience, User Interface and Product Service Systems?
The traditional fields of industrial design, graphic design, web and advertising even, are all being disrupted by the evolving technology landscape. UX straddles all of these disciplines; as a UX designer, I see it all as one converging solution space. When you think about it, UX really describes the entire customer journey. Increasingly we are working on projects that encompass complete user ecosystems such as packaging, product, instructions, training, apps, service delivery and so on, we can’t be experts in every discipline so design teams need to be more collaborative now. We regularly partner with industrial design, branding, web agencies etc. to deliver a consistent UX across all components. While each artefact in itself needs to be designed—a good UX strategy will address all elements and act as a type of glue that binds it all together - delivering the most appropriate experience to the end user.

Q. What benefits are there, if any, to having the Frontend based here in Ireland?
I’ve given a bit of thought to this over the years and my perspective has evolved over that time. It might be easier to focus on the drawbacks rather than the benefits.

The UX field in Ireland has grown considerably in recent years, and Ireland does have a strong indigenous software industry, with a growing number of Irish companies becoming global players in their own right. Yet the fact remains that Ireland is a relatively small market and there have been times when this has had a limiting effect on business growth and sustainability. So, in that respect it would be easier to be located somewhere like London or San Francisco.

Another drawback is the difficulty in finding experienced staff in Ireland. The Irish educational sector has been inexplicably slow in identifying the need for UX design graduates. Despite the fact that it’s been the main source of employment for designers over the last 10 years, only recently have specialised UX courses begun to appear.

From an international perspective being located in Ireland does have some advantages. It makes us geographically neutral – we are not seen as being aligned to any one major market. This gives us cultural independence that is valuable when conducting global projects that often swing between traditional powerbases such as Germany, UK and the USA. We also have a growing reputation as a global technology hub, with Google, Facebook, Twitter etc. all having major operations here. While these guys conduct little or no design in Ireland, their presence bolsters our reputation overseas and makes our own UX design story from Dublin more credible to an international audience.

Q. What made you want to become a designer?
Growing up, I always had a love of drawing.
I have a clear memory from childhood of being up in Dublin on a day trip with my older sister. As we walked through the city, she pointed out NCAD and told me that’s where people who are good at drawing go to college. That’s when I first realised you could have a job where you drew things. There and then I decided that’s what I wanted to do. Ultimately I applied to NCAD where I studied Industrial Design. Art College was everything I hoped it would be; as well as having a fantastic time, the ID department also managed to teach me a thing or two, providing me with the tools that I still use to this day. I can honestly say that after 22 years I still love being a designer – even though I haven’t picked up a pencil in a long time.

Q. Who or what has been the biggest single influence on your way of thinking?
That is a really difficult question to answer – I don’t really have any established design heroes. I think the people you work with in your early career have the most influence. In my case my first real design job was in LG Electronics’ European design centre. I learned so much in the 4 years I spent there. It was an amazing place and even though I was young and inexperienced, I was thrown in at the deep end managing projects, negotiating production details with Korean engineering teams and dealing with marketing teams from all over Europe and Asia. Looking back, it was amazing that as a 25 year old I was trusted with so much responsibility. Matt Ryan was the studio lead and to this day I have never met a designer who could think about design problems in the same level of detail. He was also extraordinarily adept at understanding the subtle nuances of Korean business practice, which he schooled me in and which serves me to this day. Working alongside Matt was Malachy Spollen – where Matt was considered and shrewd, Mal was audacious and dynamic, he was also an inspirational team leader. Working with Mal taught me a lot about taking design risks and teamwork. When Mal left LG and ultimately set up Frontend he brought me on-board, so he had a defining influence on where I am today.

Q. What would you say is the skill you have honed most over the years?
Without a doubt, it’s business. I’ve heard it said that there are no design problems – just business problems for designers, and I’m inclined to agree. I can’t understand why business studies do not have a more central role in design education. It’s an essential aspect of design at all levels – from understanding the client problem (and how to solve it) to managing commercial contracts, invoices etc. Everything I have learned over
the last 2 decades has been done the hard way
and that still continues to be the case. The
vast majority of my time now is spent going
through spreadsheets, contracts and the like,
but I still consider it all part of the design job.

Q. What’s your personal motto?
I once heard that the key to success is hard
work and sincerity – so when you can fake
those you have it made.

Q. Where do you see digital and physical
product design moving to in the next ten
years?
A significant shift has taken place over the
last number of years. With more and more
technology embedded in everyday products,
the boundaries between physical product and
interface design have become blurred. This
pattern will continue over the next 10 years,
meaning that the role of traditional product
design will need to evolve to encompass UX.
Product design teams of the future will be
focusing more on interactivity and interface
than on form factors and manufacturing.
Products will become more fluid and extensible
with easily downloadable enhancements and
updates. The age of machine learning is also
upon us and while I don’t expect to have a
personal robot in the next 10 years, there will
be a move towards greater personalisation in
the way we interact with products – based on
the way we use them. Ultimately, this has the
potential to create product interfaces that will
reflect our own characteristics and thereby
making the product-user relationship stronger
and more meaningful.

From an industry perspective there are a
number of interesting developments, but one
that’s close to my own heart is healthcare.
From a UX perspective, we are about to
witness an explosion of tech-innovation in
the healthcare space. For years this area has
been neglected, but a number of technology
advancements are now in place facilitating
some seismic changes. In particular, IOT and
wearable tech are gathering more patient
information than ever before, providing
greater insights to healthcare teams. Smart
drug delivery systems are already being
developed to be context aware and connected
to the healthcare team. Big Data analytics will
ultimately deliver insights into how disease
management can be improved and ultimately,
we will be able to predict adverse events
before they happen. The fact that Apple,
Google and Samsung are all investing billions
in healthcare technology indicates that this is
going to be the headline act over the next 10
years and beyond.