PATHway I: design and rationale for the investigation of the feasibility, clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a technology-enabled cardiac rehabilitation platform

Jomme Claes,1 Roselien Buys,1 Catherine Woods,2 Andrew Briggs,3 Claudia Geue,3 Moira Aitken,3 Niall Moyna,4 Kieran Moran,4 Noel McCaffrey,4 Ioanna Chouvarda,5 Deirdre Walsh,4 Werner Budts,1,6 Dimitris Filos,5 Andreas Triantafyllidis,5 Nicos Maglaveras,5 Véronique A Cornelissen7

ABSTRACT

Introduction Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation (CR) independently alters the clinical course of cardiovascular diseases resulting in a significant reduction in all-cause and cardiac mortality. However, only 15%–30% of all eligible patients participate in a phase 2 ambulatory programme. The uptake rate of community-based programmes following phase 2 CR and adherence to long-term exercise is extremely poor. Newer care models, involving telerehabilitation programmes that are delivered remotely, show considerable promise for increasing adherence. In this view, the PATHway (Physical Activity Towards Health) platform was developed and now needs to be evaluated in terms of its feasibility and clinical efficacy.

Methods and analysis In a multicentre randomised controlled pilot trial, 120 participants (m/f, age 40–80 years) completing a phase 2 ambulatory CR programme will be randomised on a 1:1 basis to PATHway or usual care. PATHway involves a comprehensive, internet-enabled, sensor-based home CR platform and provides individualised heart rate monitored exercise programmes (exercycles and exergames) as the basis on which to provide a personalised lifestyle intervention programme. The control group will receive usual care. Study outcomes will be assessed at baseline, 3 months and 6 months after completion of phase 2 of the CR programme. The primary outcome is the change in active energy expenditure. Secondary outcomes include cardiopulmonary endurance capacity, muscle strength, body composition, cardiovascular risk factors, peripheral endothelial vascular function, patient satisfaction, health-related quality of life (HRQoL), well-being, mediators of behaviour change and safety. HRQoL and healthcare costs will be taken into account in cost-effectiveness evaluation.

Ethics and dissemination The study will be conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This protocol has been approved by the director and clinical director of the PATHway study and by the ethical committee of each participating site. Results will be disseminated via peer-reviewed scientific journals and presentations at congresses and events.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- PATHway (Physical Activity Towards Health) provides comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation in the living room of the patient.
- The telerehabilitation intervention is fully personalised on the patients’ needs, goals and preferences.
- This pilot study could justify prospective trials to evaluate the longer-term effects of the PATHway platform on cardiovascular health.
- The study is of relatively short duration and no data will be available after 6 months.
- The study is underpowered to detect statistically significant changes for a majority of secondary outcomes.

Trial registration number NCT02717806. This trial is currently in the pre-results stage.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are the major reason for premature death and disability in Europe, resulting in approximately 4.3 million deaths and costing the European Union €196 billion per year.1–3 Studies over the last decades have shown an increasing prevalence and incidence of CVD. Incidence increased by 5% from 1990 to 2000 and an additional increase of 25% is expected by 2030.1,3 With these expected increasing numbers of patients eligible for cardiac rehabilitation (CR), the importance of CR will only grow.

Exercise-based CR favourably and independently alters the clinical course of CVD with a 15%–31% reduction in all-cause and cardiac mortality.1,4 Peak aerobic capacity
appears to be a strong predictor of mortality in patients with CVD. Hence, the impetus to improve exercise capacity in patients with CVD in the short term and maintain this improvement in the longer-term is strong. There is overwhelming evidence coming from meta-analytic research showing that exercise-based CR programmes are able to significantly increase this exercise capacity by 20%–30%. Based on such accumulating evidence, physical activity counselling and exercise training are now core components in all secondary prevention guidelines for patients with cardiac disease.

However, only 15%–30% of all patients eligible for CR engage in a formal exercise programme (phase 2 ambulatory programme). Moreover, uptake rates of community-based CR following these phase 2 programmes are even lower and the number of patients effectively continuing the long-term rehabilitation phase is extremely poor. Optimising CR delivery and improving the ongoing adoption of a healthy lifestyle will be important for the health and well-being of the general population and from the perspective of healthcare costs. The main reasons for the low adherence rates include: travel distance, low self-efficacy, perceived body-image and lack of time. Therefore, it is a priority to find effective interventions that result in a lifelong physically active lifestyle. Ideally, such interventions should lead to a sustainable cardioprotective lifestyle resulting in an increase of moderate to vigorous physical activity and an improved physical fitness, enhancing both survival and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) at a cost that represents good value for money for health systems.

An evidence-based approach to inducing sustained lifestyle changes involves the implementation of health behaviour change strategies grounded in health behaviour change theory. A range of health behaviour change techniques can be used to enhance intervention effectiveness. Frequent feedback and the provision of motivation and support have been shown to be efficacious. Setting realistic goals and individualising the programme to the needs and interests of the patient improves compliance to physical activity and exercise. To this end, state-of-the-art telerehabilitation technology has the potential to help participants by inducing sustained lifestyle changes and health providers by providing personalised health services. Patients with CVD show high interest in technology enabled CR, providing a clear indication to develop such interventions.

PATHway (Physical Activity Towards Health) is a novel approach set within a collaborative care context with health professionals that aims to empower participants to self-manage their CVD risk factors. This will be achieved using a patient-centric holistic behavioural change approach that involves an internet-enabled and sensor-based home exercise platform as the core component of a personalised, comprehensive lifestyle intervention programme. This will enable participants to both better understand and deal with their own condition and adopt and/or maintain a healthy lifestyle in general.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The study will use a single blind multicentre pilot randomised controlled clinical trial to assess the impact of the PATHway platform on physical activity, lifestyle and health-related physical fitness in patients with CVD and to evaluate the acceptability, feasibility and cost-effectiveness of using PATHway in patients with CVD.

Primary objective of the trial

The primary objective is to compare objectively measured active energy expenditure. We hypothesise that physical activity defined as the total weekly volume of active (activities requiring an energy expenditure >3 metabolic units) energy expenditure (EE in kcal) will be significantly greater in the intervention (PATHway) than the control group (usual care) at 6 months.

Secondary objectives of the trial

- Compare cardiopulmonary endurance capacity, muscle strength and muscle endurance, flexibility, cardiovascular risk score and body composition.
- Compare psychosocial well-being and quality of life including depression, self-efficacy and social relationship.
- Participants are much more likely to adhere to PATHway if they understand the system and like how it operates. Through process evaluation the feasibility, acceptability, satisfaction and usability of the PATHway system from the participants’ perspective will be assessed.
- Explore the determinants/moderators of health behaviour change and CV health.
- Estimate the cost-effectiveness of PATHway.
- Assess the safety of PATHway.
- Evaluate whether the decision support system (DSS) of PATHway ensures the safety of the participants, optimises the exercise programme according to patient needs and contributes to health behaviour change.

METHODS

The PATHway study protocol is reported following the Standard Protocol Items: recommendations for Interventionsal Trials (SPIRIT) 2013, the checklist of which can be found as the added Research Checklist. This trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02717806.

Study design

A single blind, parallel two-group pilot multicentre randomised controlled design will be employed. A total of 120 participants will be randomised to the PATHway intervention or usual care. Given the nature of the intervention, it is not possible to blind the participants or the researchers implementing PATHway. Researchers performing the outcome assessments will, however, be blinded to patient allocation. Figure 1 shows the study flow.
Figure 1 Study schedule. CR, cardiac rehabilitation; PATHway, Physical Activity Towards Health.

**Study setting**

Participants will be recruited among patients with cardiac disease participating in three outpatient CR programmes in Belgium and Ireland. Sixty participants will be recruited in the University Hospitals Leuven (Belgium), 30 participants will be recruited from the community clinic at Beaumont Hospital, Dublin (Ireland) and another 30 participants will be recruited from the community clinic at The Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, (Ireland). The University of Leuven will be the coordinating centre for the trial. Daily management of the study will be performed by a local principal investigator at each participating site.

**Eligibility criteria and recruitment**

The study population will comprise 120 patients diagnosed with CVD. Men and women between the ages of...
A major aim of the study is to provide evidence showing an increased uptake/compliance to a short-term technology-based physically active lifestyle intervention compared with usual care. It is envisaged that the study findings will provide data for the design of a larger randomised trial examining a technology-based physically active lifestyle intervention compared with usual care. We have chosen a convenience sample of 120 participants, in order to assess the plausible effects of short-term technology-based physically active lifestyle intervention on physical activity uptake as well as to provide an indication of the effect size on health-related fitness which we envisage would be the primary outcome of a future larger trial in patients with CVD.

Randomisation and concealed allocation
After signing an informed consent, participants will be randomised to either the PATHway-intervention or the usual care group. Randomisation will be performed in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by centre of enrolment. Randomisation schedules will be generated for each centre using a computerised random number generator. In order to assure concealment of allocation and minimise selection bias, an independent designated member of the coordinating centre in KU Leuven will assign a randomisation number in response to a request from a local investigator. Participants will receive a personalised identification code (PIC) which will be used on all subsequent transcripts and data collected to assure anonymity of all data.

Sample size calculation
As this is the first study with this type of complex intervention, without precedence on which to base accurate power calculations, a power calculation has not been performed. A major aim of the study is to provide evidence showing an increased uptake/compliance to a short-term technology-based physically active lifestyle intervention compared with usual care. It is envisaged that the study findings will provide data for the design of a larger randomised trial examining a technology-based physically active lifestyle intervention compared with usual care. We have chosen a convenience sample of 120 participants, in order to assess the plausible effects of short-term technology-based physically active lifestyle intervention on physical activity uptake as well as to provide an indication of the effect size on health-related fitness which we envisage would be the primary outcome of a future larger trial in patients with CVD.

PATHway intervention
As shown in figure 1, eligible and consenting participants will be enrolled prior to discharge from an outpatient ambulatory CR programme. In addition to the 2–3 weekly exercise sessions as part of the outpatient CR programme, participants allocated to the PATHway intervention will also undertake a single supervised familiarisation session during each of the last 4 weeks in order to systematically learn and practice all features of the platform. During this familiarisation period, a local investigator will install the PATHway system in the participant’s home. Participants will be provided with a standardised training manual and set-up guide. During the familiarisation period, they will be requested to interact with the PATHway system by means of standardised assignments to assure they get acquainted with its features. Subsequently, participants will be encouraged to discuss their experience with the PATHway technology and identify problems.

The home-based PATHway system will provide an individualised exercise prescription based on the results of

### Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the PATHway (Physical Activity Towards Health) trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men and women with documented cardiovascular disease who are enrolled for the first time in a CR programme</td>
<td>Significant illness during the last 6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged 40–80 years</td>
<td>Known severe ventricular arrhythmia with functional or prognostic significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants are on optimal medical treatment and stable with regard to symptoms and pharmacotherapy for at least 4 weeks</td>
<td>Significant myocardial ischaemia, haemodynamic deterioration or exercise-induced arrhythmia at baseline testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants must complete the ambulatory CR programme and receive clinical approval from their treating physician to continue exercising outside the hospital programme</td>
<td>Cardiac disease that limits exercise tolerance (valve disease with significant haemodynamic consequences, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and so on)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet access at home</td>
<td>Comorbidity that may significantly influence 1-year prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient space to deploy and use the system adequately</td>
<td>Functional or mental disability that may limit exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute or chronic inflammatory diseases or malignancy, the use of anti-inflammatory drugs or immune suppression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (FEV1&lt;50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NYHA class 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participation in another clinical trial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR, cardiac rehabilitation.
a cardiopulmonary exercise test performed at the start of the familiarisation period and according to current guidelines.\textsuperscript{1} 23-30 Additionally, a behaviour change component that allows realistic goal setting by the patient will be implemented with regard to diet, smoking cessation, alcohol, stress reduction and medication adherence. Guiding the patient to choose appropriate goals that focus on specific achievable health behaviour changes has been shown previously to promote goal attainment.\textsuperscript{13} Patients will be asked to complete the behaviour change assessment module during this familiarisation phase. Following this assessment, patients will be asked to choose a lifestyle behaviour they want to improve.

As shown in figure 2, the PATHway intervention is a comprehensive and complex self-care CR system. It involves the implementation of an end-to-end modular technology platform (ie, portable PC including PATHway software, Microsoft Kinect camera, Microsoft Band 2 heart rate monitor, Blood pressure device, Zensor 3-lead ECG device) that allows participants to better self-manage their illness. PATHway consists of five different modules; exercise, outdoor physical activity, behavioural change, social connectivity and affective response.

The home-based exercise module comprises an exercise class (exerclass) and an exercise game (exergame) component. The exerclass includes dynamic aerobic exercises, dynamic resistance exercises and relaxation. Participant movements, repetition count, energy expenditure and heart rate (HR) will be continuously monitored by the Microsoft Band 2\textsuperscript{31} in order to provide personalised feedback via a virtual ‘avatar’ coach and to continuously adapt the programme. A motion-capturing component using the Microsoft Kinect sensor will assess participants’ movements during the exerclass and exergame sessions and provide them with feedback on the accuracy of their exercise execution. A DSS using HR (Microsoft Band 2)\textsuperscript{31} along with the accuracy derived from the motion capturing component will be used to dynamically select exercises during real time.\textsuperscript{32} In this context, the goal is to adjust\textsuperscript{32} the exercise prescription to achieve the prescribed target HR zone\textsuperscript{33} required to maintain and/or improve cardiovascular fitness. Finally, a prescreening data processing component will evaluate the participants resting HR and blood pressure, medication compliance and eating behaviour prior to starting each exercise session.

The exercise module will be supplemented by an outdoor physical activity module. A wrist worn HR/physical activity monitor (Microsoft band 2) will assess sitting behaviour and physical activity levels beyond the exerclasses and exergames. The combined data derived from the exercise module and outdoor physical activity assessment module will be aggregated to generate a physical activity report. The report will allow participants to monitor their physical activity behaviour and will provide the healthcare professionals with objective data relating to physical activity, sedentary behaviour and compliance.
Substantial evidence indicates that telerehabilitation interventions are more effective when they incorporate a behaviour change module such as self-monitoring, providing feedback on performance, goal-setting and rewards. Behaviour change techniques based on the taxonomy developed by Michie and colleagues will be implemented in the PATHway platform within a behaviour change module. These will be delivered implicitly and according to patient preferences throughout the system as well as via the avatar, text-based resource content and push notifications linked with performance feedback.

To further facilitate social support within a community context, a social connectivity module will enable small groups of remote participants to exercise together by allowing them to communicate during the exercise session by means of headsets, as well as by sending messages and a live chat function. An online calendar will also allow participants to promote events and to invite others to join, with a maximum of four participants per event.

The affective response module will use a questionnaire to measure the extent to which the participants enjoy each exercise session. The perceptions of effort, strain, discomfort or fatigue experienced during exercise will be measured using the Borg 16 point rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale. The effect and RPE scores will be used to adjust the exercise sessions offline according to the participant’s levels of enjoyment and exertion.

An incorporated e-learning platform involving text, photo and video messaging information will provide participants with information on reducing lifestyle-related risk factors for CVD. A detailed overview of the PATHway system can be found elsewhere.

Patients randomised to the PATHway intervention will be asked to use the system for 6 months following their outpatient CR programme.

### Usual care or control group

During the 4-week familiarisation period, participants allocated to the usual care group will continue to attend the hospital-based outpatient CR programme 3 days per week. At completion of the outpatient CR programme, they will receive advice on how best to maintain a physically active lifestyle. They will be free to participate in any type of fitness classes, walking groups, cycling groups, available community-based CR programmes and so on. However, they will not be provided with a specific exercise prescription or receive direct feedback or support with regard to their physical activity behaviour during the 6-month follow-up period.

### Concomitant care

Both intervention and control group will continue to receive medical and pharmacological management as well as the standard lifestyle advice according to prevailing guidelines.

### Outcome measures and data collection

Outcome measures will be assessed by researchers blinded to the group allocation. All assessments will be performed at baseline (t1), which coincides with the start of the familiarisation phase and at 3 months (t2) and 6 months (t3) after completion of the phase 2 CR programme unless otherwise specified (figure 1). Table 2 gives a tabulated summary of the study schedule and measurements.

### Primary outcome

The primary outcome, total volume of weekly active energy expenditure (>3 METs), will be objectively measured by means of a validated physical activity device, the ActiGraph GT9X Link (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA). Measurements of physical activity and exercise capacity will be performed in the week before the PATHway run-in period. Both measurements will be repeated during the last week of both the third month (=t2) and the sixth month (=t3) following completion of the phase 2 CR period.

### Secondary outcomes

**Health-related outcomes**

At each data collection time point, participants will attend for a single visit between 09:00 and 12:00 hours following a 12-hour fast. After measurements of anthropometrics, vascular health and blood sampling have been performed, they will be given the time to consume a light breakfast. Following this, measurements on flexibility and muscle function will be performed. All measurements will be performed by the same blinded researchers on each participating site. In the following order:

- Body composition: weight, height, fat percentage, waist and hip circumferences.
- Vascular function will be assessed by measuring the percentage change in brachial artery flow mediated dilation following 5 min of forearm occlusion. Blood pressure will be measured at rest (office BP) in sitting position.
- A 12-hour fasting blood sample will be drawn to measure selected biomarkers: fasting glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides and HbA1C.
- Functional flexibility will be assessed by means of the timed sit and stand test.
- Muscle strength will be measured using maximal isometric handgrip strength and isometric and isokinetic quadriceps strength and endurance.

**Psychosocial well-being and intervention effectiveness**

Participants will fill in a booklet of questionnaires which will be administered as an online survey on a portable PC or tablet during the assessment visits. Furthermore, patients randomised to the PATHway intervention will also fill in a few further questionnaires on the PATHway platform, since needed for the health behavioural change module. These instruments assess among others, health,
Table 2 Tabulated summary of study schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FU3m</th>
<th>FU6m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility screen</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATHway intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual care (control) intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristics</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-related physical fitness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPET</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle strength and flexibility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of the vascular system</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body composition</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-related QoL and psychosocial well-being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D-5L questionnaire</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36 questionnaire</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise self-efficacy scale</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication adherence</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise barriers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress scale</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENRICHD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction/usability tests</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource use data collection</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-day ECG Holter monitoring</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process evaluation (assessment of DSS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation of DSS outcomes captured in reports by clinical experts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction/usability test will only be performed in the intervention group.
CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise test; DSS, decision support system; ENRICHD, Enhancing Recovery In Coronary Heart Disease; EQ-5D-5L, EuroQol-Five Dimensions-Five Levels Questionnaire; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire 9; QoL, Quality of Life; SF-36, Short Form 36; T, timepoint.

self-efficacy, well-being and quality of life. They will also collect data on the mechanisms hypothesised to mediate PATHway usage and maintenance of physical activity behaviour. An overview of the questionnaires used to assess outcome measures and guide risk factor behaviour change is shown in table 3. For an overview of the questionnaires administered at t1, t2 and t3 only, see table 2.

Health economic evaluation
The health economic evaluation will evaluate health outcomes and resource use consequences of the PATHway intervention. The analysis will be conducted from a societal perspective to include resource costs falling on the health service and on the individual, together with potential productivity benefits associated with return to work where applicable. HRQoL outcomes will be estimated in terms of Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs). These data will be generated using completed EuroQol-Five Dimensions-Five Levels Questionnaires. The cost of delivering the programme, the health service costs, the costs falling on the participants and the productivity effects in terms of participant’s employment will be calculated. Information on participants’ resource use will be obtained by a researcher by means of an interviewer-administered
### Table 3  Questionnaires for assessment of psychological well-being and intervention effectiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking Status</td>
<td>Four self-developed questions about quantity of smoking now and in the past.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
questionnaire at t1, t2 and t3 as shown in Table 4. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio will be calculated as the cost per QALY gained by using the intervention.

Observed results will be extrapolated beyond the 6-month intervention period in the clinical trial, in order to explore the potential lifetime cost-effectiveness of PATHway. Statistical and decision-modelling methods will be used to undertake the extrapolation, which will apply to costs as well as effects. The model will link changes in exercise capacity and cardiovascular risk profiles to recurrent cardiovascular events and CVD mortality in order to estimate the cost-per-QALY associated with the PATHway programme. The post-trial extrapolation for each arm uses estimates of long-term recurrence from the literature and the observed recurrence rates within the period of the trial, accounting for any differences in resource use, life expectancy and quality of life.

Safety monitoring
All serious adverse events (SAE) will be reported continuous to a Data Safety and Monitoring Committee composed of four cardiologists. SAE are defined as all-cause mortality, hospitalisation for CVD or serious atrial or ventricular arrhythmia. Other adverse events will include training-related adverse events such as muscle, tendon or joint problems that will preclude exercise participation or other diseases that require an interruption of the exercise intervention. Participants will also wear a 3-lead ECG Zensor Device (Intelesens, Belfast, North Ireland) monitoring system for three consecutive days at the three time points of measurement. The participants will be asked to wear the Zensor simultaneously with the ActiGraph device.

Qualitative outcomes
The PATHway system one-on-one interviews guided by the Health IT Usability Evaluation Model (Health–ITUEM), will be used to evaluate both subjective (perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness) and objective (efficiency and effectiveness) measures as part of the PATHway debrief, with participants and stakeholders (ie, physiotherapists, cardiologists, cardiac nurses). Face-to-face interviews will be conducted by a trained interviewer to the point of data saturation. Participants will be asked about their opinions on the PATHway programme, especially in relation to perceptions of improved self-management and confidence in DSSs; the influence of peer mentors; the programme language; the level of content remembered as well as perceptions of intrusiveness, timing and content. All interviews will be semi-structured in nature to allow the extrapolation of novel patient-led topics in relation to the intervention. All interviews will be audio-taped and transcribed verbatim and analysed using Braun and Clarke’s thematic analysis five-step framework. Audit trails will be used throughout this analysis to ensure transparency throughout the development process from raw interview transcripts to themes to user feedback formulations to the technology team.

Data management and analysis
Each randomised participant will be assigned a PIC. This unique identification number will be used on all case report forms, electronic databases and within the PATHway platform. Data will be recorded in hardcopy at the time of the measurement and will subsequently be entered electronically in ‘RedCap’, an open source clinical trial software for electronic data capture and data management. The database will be hosted and secured hardcopies will be stored in a secured filing cabinet at the participating sites. The data entry screens in RedCap will resemble the hard copy case report forms approved by the ethical committee. Checks will be automatically applied when entering the data in the database based on preset ranges. Missing data will also be automatically detected and data query reports will be sent to the local data manager. The type of activity that an individual user may undertake is regulated by the privileges associated with his/her user identification code and passwords. After submission of the data, researchers responsible at the participating sites cannot make any changes. Appropriate changes can only be made by the principle data manager.

All data analyses and reporting will be performed according to best practice and reported in agreement with Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials guidelines and the Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research. Data analysis will be performed using SAS version 9.4. Baseline demographic characteristics and parameters related to pathology will be reported descriptively and will in first instance be compared across countries and between treatment groups to assess whether further analyses need adjustment for any unbalanced variables. In addition, these basic demographic characteristics will be compared between non-participating eligible participants and eligible participants enrolled in the trial to evaluate the potential of selection bias.

Table 4 Overview of main categories for health economics assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct healthcare costs</td>
<td>Concomitant medications, Visits to healthcare professionals, Outpatient visits, ER visits, Inpatient admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs to the patient/carer</td>
<td>Travel costs, Home adaptations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity costs to patient/carer</td>
<td>Time away from employment/main activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>Quality of life, EuroQol-Five Dimensions-Five Levels Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

primary and secondary outcome parameters as previously described will be analysed on an intention-to-treat principle according to initial randomisation and using data collected at 6 months following completion of the phase 2 CR programme. To account for missing data, we will impute the missing value with the last measured value. The Shapiro-Wilk test will be applied to assess normality. The mixed linear models will be used to assess differences between groups. All tests will be two-sided and a p-value < 0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
The study will be conducted in accordance with the principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants will only be enrolled in the study after signing written informed consent. None of the principal investigators in the PATHway study have any financial interest to declare. This protocol and the template informed consent have been reviewed and approved by the director and clinical director of the PATHway study and are approved by the ethical committee of the UZ Leuven/ KU Leuven and the Research Ethics Committee of both Irish hospital partners (KU Leuven: S59023; Mater Misericordiae Hospital Dublin: 1/378/1846; Beaumont Hospital Dublin: 16/50), as well as Dublin City University (DCU: REC2016/123) with respect to scientific content and compliance with applicable research and human subjects regulations. In case of any amendments to the consent form during the study, the volunteers will be given a copy of the updated form and any written information. We will disseminate the PATHway study results via peer-reviewed scientific journals and presentations at local, national and international congresses and events.

CONCLUSION
It has recently been suggested that a comprehensive telerehabilitation programme for participants with cardiac disease should include telemonitoring, telecoaching, social networking and e-learning in order to achieve significant long-term effects on the participants’ health condition. To date, most of the telerehabilitation programmes have, however, only included one or two of these components. Furthermore, although exercise and physical activity are key in a CR programme, current guidelines clearly state that a CR programme should involve all of the core components. To the best of our knowledge, PATHway is the first system that provides such a comprehensive cardiac telerehabilitation programme which is fully patient-tailored based on personal needs and preferences. More specific, participants will be provided with a personalised exercise prescription which will be formulated based on the clinical status of the participants and also taking personal preferences and exercise goals into account. In addition to this and based on an evaluation of other lifestyle behaviours, participants will be encouraged to set personalised goals for adopting a healthy lifestyle. An e-learning environment including written information and videos focusing on the different core components of secondary prevention programmes will help participants to get a better understanding of their disease and its management. It should be noted that PATHway was not designed to replace current supervised phase 2 CR programmes, but it aims to offer an alternative for those patients who cannot complete a phase 2 CR programme due to work or other commitments and it is intended to support a physically active and healthy lifestyle beyond the phase 2 programme. The main goal of this pilot trial is to gain insight into the change in health status of the patient and the efficacy of the system. This will allow further dissemination of the PATHway platform in the future.

LIMITATIONS
As the study is of relatively short duration, no data will be available beyond 6 months. Furthermore, the study is underpowered to detect statistically significant changes for a majority of secondary outcomes. However, it might be anticipated that when we are able to demonstrate an increased uptake of physical activity 6 months following completion of the ambulatory phase 2 CR programme by using PATHway, this will result in an enhancement of cardiovascular health in the longer term. Hence, this study would then provide justification for prospective trials to evaluate the longer-term effectiveness on cardiovascular health and the cost-effectiveness of the PATHway platform in this population and also other chronic disease populations.

Expected outcomes and future contributions
At this stage, PATHway aims to support patients with cardiac disease in maintaining a physically active lifestyle and where possible to further increase their levels of physical activity. In addition, it will help participants to choose a healthy lifestyle. If the results of PATHway are positive, the PATHway platform can be extended to other patient populations with chronic disease including patients with peripheral artery disease, chronic stroke, chronic obstructive lung disease and also in the primary prevention of CVD in persons with established cardiovascular risk factors for whom exercise and the adoption of a healthy lifestyle is a core component in their disease management.
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