INTRODUCTION

Sulfur (S), an essential macro-element required for growth, is increasingly becoming limited to crop yield and quality as a result of a reduction in atmospheric S levels and crop varieties removing S from soil more rapidly (Fowler et al., 2005). S present in soil is approximately 95% organically bound largely in one of two major forms; sulfate-esters and sulfonates (Figure 1; Autry and Fitzgerald, 1990; Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004). These forms of organo-S are not directly available to plants which rely upon microbes in soil and rhizosphere for organo-S mobilization (Kertesz et al., 2007). Soil S cycling may involve complex interactions between soil bacteria and mycorrhiza in plant S supply (Vermeij et al., 1999; Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004). Plant root activity impacts the physico-chemical properties of the soil through the release of organic compounds (rhizodeposition) which accounts for 15–30% of photosynthetically produced carbon (C; Russell, 1977). This process provides soil organisms with an energy source that enables them to fulfill their respective functional roles (Lynch and Whipps, 1990; Farrar et al., 2003).

Many bacteria and fungi in soil are capable of mineralizing S from sulfate-esters (Klose et al., 1999). In contrast, an exclusively bacterial multicomponent mono-oxygenase enzyme complex is necessary to mobilize sulfonates, the dominant organo-S source in soil (Vermeij et al., 1999; Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004). In fact, soil S cycling may involve complex interactions between several free living and symbiotic root associated microbial populations. Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi form symbiosis with 80% of land plant species which depend upon them for growth (Wang and Qiu, 2006). AM fungal symbiosis is characterized by fungal penetration of root cortical cells forming microscopic branched structures called arbuscules that increase efficiency of plant-fungus metabolite exchange (Smith and Read, 1997). Extraradical AM hyphae provide surfaces for functional bacterial populations to colonize. A number of studies have reported interactions between AM fungi and phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) mobilizing bacteria (Richardson et al., 2009; Hodge and Storer, 2014), and the impact of AM on bacterial community structures (Bianciotto and Bonfante, 2002; Toljander et al., 2007). Like S, both N, and P exist predominantly inaccessible to plants which rely on interactions with mycorrhizal fungi and associated microbes to facilitate their mobilization (Richardson et al., 2009).

SULFUR FOR PLANT GROWTH

S owes its importance as a component of the (i) proteinaceous amino acids cysteine and methionine, (ii) non-protein amino acids including cystine, lanthionine, and ethionine (iii) tripeptide glutathione, and (iv) components including vitamins thiamine and biotin, phytochelatins, chlorophyll, coenzyme A, S-adenosyl-methionin and sulfolipids (Scherer, 2001). S plays critical structural roles in cells as disulphide bonds in proteins, is involved in enzyme regulation (redox control), provides protection from oxidative stress via glutathione, and its derivatives are involved in heavy metal stress mediation (Leustek and Saito, 1999). Plant S also plays an important role in disease protection and defense response as a component of glucosinolates and allin compounds (Jones et al., 2004; Brader et al., 2006). Various plant species prevent fungal infection via deposition of elemental S in the xylem parenchyma (Cooper and Williams, 2004).

Plant S demand is dependent on species and stage of development, with increased demand observed during periods of vegetative growth and seed development (Leustek and Saito, 1999). Inorganic sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) is the dominant plant available source of S, while to a lesser extent atmospheric reduced S may be utilized (Leustek et al., 2000). Regulation of SO$_4^{2-}$ uptake involves...
Major sulfur (S) inputs to soils originate from organic litter deposition and animal droppings (blue lines). Most of this deposited S is organically bound (organo-S). Atmospheric deposition of inorganic S has greatly declined in Europe, America and elsewhere, thus is often only a minor source for plants. Organo-S (sulfate-esters and sulfonates) can be transformed by soil microbes between the two major organo-S pools or mineralized to inorganic S (green lines, thickness suggests main direction of pathway). At the same time, inorganic S can be immobilized into organo-S (green lines). While the sulfate-ester pool is largely available to both fungi and bacteria, sulfonates are primarily accessible to bacteria only and aromatic sulfonates are only available to a particular functional clade of bacteria. Bacterial sulfonate desulfurization via the mono-oxygenase multi-enzyme pathway may occur intracellular, thus polymeric sulfonate may need depolymerisation, e.g., by saprophytic fungi prior to uptake (dotted purple line). Organo-S mineralised by fungi and bacteria need to be made available for plant uptake in the form of sulfate. This may happen via sulfate uptake by mycorrhizal fungal hyphae as an intermediate step (dashed gray line). In the absence of any direct evidence of a sulfate transport system from fungus or bacterium to the plant root or symbiotic mycorrhizal hyphae, release of mineralised S through autolysis and grazing by protists and microscopic nematodes may play an important role in inorganic sulfate release and plant sulfate uptake (red lines).

Multiple transport steps and a large family of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ transporters have been characterized (Hawkesford, 2003). Assimilation of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to cysteine occurs primarily in the chloroplasts of young leaves, while cysteine and methionine can also be synthesized in roots and seeds (Leustek and Saito, 1999). S starvation has been shown to negatively impact plant vitality when the P and N status is adequate (Sieh et al., 2013). During S limitation plant $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ transporters are up-regulated for rapid $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ uptake into the rhizosphere leading to a zone of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ depletion (Buchner et al., 2004). In this zone, bacterial desulfurization of organo-S is induced to mineralize organo-S, thus indirectly regulating plant S uptake (Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004). However, S-deficiency in plants can result in reduced root exudation (Alhendawi et al., 2005) or alteration of root exudates (Astolfi et al., 2010) which can influence bacterial communities seeking exudates as source of carbon.

X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy has revealed that sulfonates and sulfate-esters compose 30–70% and 20–60% of the organo-S in soil, respectively (Zhao et al., 2006). Directly plant available $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ constitutes less than 5% of the total soil S (Autry and Fitzgerald, 1990). Organo-S compounds arise through deposition of biological material containing S, including plant and animal residues, and are subsequently incorporated into organic molecules through complex humification processes (Guggenberger, 2005). Animal residues are particularly high in organo-S with sheep dung comprising ~80% of S as sulfonates, and while $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ is rapidly leached from soil, organo-S can persist for longer time periods (Haynes and Williams, 1993). Additionally, soil-S pools are not static but rapidly interconverted between forms by soil microbial activity (Freney et al., 1975; Kertesz et al., 2007). Sulfonates were found to be mineralized more rapidly than other S-fractions and accounted for the majority of S released in short term incubation studies (Zhao et al., 2003, 2006). These findings indicate that C-bound S in soils may be of greatest importance (Ghani et al., 1992).

**MICROBIAL MINERALIZATION OF ORGANO-S**

Microbial mineralization of organo-S is undertaken to access carbon, energy or S, with the latter also vital for plant growth (Ghani et al., 1992; Cook et al., 1998; Cook and Denger, 2002).
Sulfate-ester mineralization is catalyzed by sulfatases of the esterase class (Deng and Tabatabai, 1997). Arylsulfatase enzymes act on aromatic sulfate-esters by splitting the O-S bond while alkyl-sulfatase enzymes act on aliphatic sulfate-esters by splitting the C-O bond (Kertesz, 1999). Both reactions release sulfate and are common in rhizospheric soil (Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004). Bacterial arylsulfatase activity is induced during S starvation and repressed in the presence of SO$_4^{2-}$ in Pseudomonas aeruginosa, while in a Streptomyces strain, a membrane bound sulfatase was also induced independently via substrate presence (Hummerjohann et al., 2000; Cregut et al., 2013). The ability to mobilize sulfate-esters has been observed in a range of bacteria including Pseudomonas, Klebsiella, Salmonella, Enterobacter,erratia, and Comamonas (Hummerjohann et al., 2000). Additionally, arylsulfatase activity is influenced by various external factors including soil temperature, moisture content, vegetative cover, and crop rotation (Tabatabai and Bremner, 1970).

Fungi play an important role in the rhizosphere as plant symbionts or as free living saprotrophs. Soil filamentous fungi were reported to be important in mobilization of sulfate-esters (Omar and Abd-Alla, 2000; Baum and Hrynkiewicz, 2006), where enhanced arylsulfatase activity was found under S-limiting conditions (Fitzgerald, 1976; Marzluf, 1997). Likewise, wood-rotting fungi utilized sulfate-esters and thiols from wood (Schmalenberger et al., 2011).

The most abundant organo-S source in soil is present as aliphatic or aromatic sulfonates (Autry and Fitzgerald, 1996; Zhao et al., 2006). The ability to mobilize S from aliphatic sulfonates is widespread among soil bacteria with over 90% of morphologically distinct isolates capable of C2-sulfonate utilization (King and Quin, 1997). However, aromatic sulfonates have been shown to be of greater importance for S nutrition and the ability to mobilize these sulfonates has been associated with plant growth promotion (PGP) of tomato (Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004) and Arabidopsis (Kertesz et al., 2007).

The desulfonating ability of the sewage sludge bacterial isolate Pseudomonas putida S-313 has been widely studied across a broad substrate range (Kertesz et al., 1994; Cook et al., 1998; Vermeij et al., 1999; Kahnert et al., 2000). Mobilization of SO$_4^{2-}$ from aromatic and aliphatic sulfonates is catalyzed by a FMNH$_2$-dependent monoxygenase enzyme complex encoded in the ssu gene cluster (Eichhorn et al., 1999). The monoxygenase SsuD cleaves sulfonates to their corresponding aldehydes and the reduced flavin for this process is provided by the FMN-NADPH reductase SsuE. Although its function is unknown, ssuF from the ssu gene cluster was found to be essential for sulfonate desulfurization as well. For aromatic desulfonation the asfRABC gene cluster is required as an additional ‘tool-kit’ to complement ssu. The asf gene cluster includes a substrate binding protein, an ABC type transporter, a reductase/ferredoxin electron transport system involved in electron transfer and energy provision during oxidation of the C-S bond, and a LysR-type regulatory protein, which activates the system during SO$_4^{2-}$ limitation (Vermeij et al., 1999). Transposon mutagenesis in the asfA gene of sewage isolate P. putida S-313 resulted in mutants without the capability to utilize aromatic sulfonates, while the utilization of aliphatic sulfonates was unchanged (Vermeij et al., 1999). This mutant was used in a plant growth experiment alongside its wild type, where the PGP effect was directly attributed to an functioning asfA gene (Kertesz and Mirleau, 2004). This particular type of bacterium has recently been isolated from the hyphae of symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi (Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2014). Various recent studies on the bacterial phylogeny of aromatic sulfonate mobilizing bacteria have expanded the diversity to the Beta-Proteobacteria; Variovorax, Polaronomas, Hydrogenophaga, Cupriavidus, Burkholderia, and Acidobacter; the Actinobacteria; Rhodococcus and the Gamma-Proteobacteria; Pseudomonas (Figure 2; Schmalenberger and Kertesz, 2007; Schmalenberger et al., 2008, 2009; Fox et al., 2014). Additionally, Stenotrophomonas and Williamsia species, isolated from hand-picked AM hyphae, have recently been added to these groups (Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2014).

Until now, there has been little evidence to suggest fungal catalysis of sulfonate desulfurization (Kertesz et al., 2007; Schmalenberger et al., 2011). Indeed, while some saprotrophic fungi appear to breakdown some sulfonated molecules they do not release inorganic S in the process, for example, the white rot fungus Phanerochaete chrysosporium transforms the aromatic alkylbenzene sulfonate but does so exclusively on its side chain without S-release (Yadav et al., 2001). Cultivation of fungi in vitro suggested that sulfonates could be utilized as an S source by wood degrading fungus Geopilum trabeum, however, XANES spectra taken from wood accessible solely to the fungus displayed no evidence of sulfonate mobilization (Schmalenberger et al., 2011). Other cultivation experiments indicated a use of aliphatic sulfonates by various strains of yeasts via a putative 2-oxoglutarate dependent dioxygenase pathway (Uria-Nickelsen et al., 1993; Linder, 2012). However, this desulfurization capability may be limited to certain C4–C6 alkanesulfonates as this is the case for the taurine dioxygenase (Kertesz, 1999). Thus, the importance of bacteria and fungi with a dioxygenase pathway for sulfonate desulfurization is still somewhat unclear. As aforementioned, bacterial desulfonation based on the monoxygenase pathway occurs intracellularly and, as such, availability of sulfonates of different molecular size may be of importance. Therefore, saprotrophic fungi, including several genera of the Basidomycota, may play a role in sulfonate mobilization by secreting enzymes such as laccases and peroxidases in order to depolymerize large organic compounds in the soil (Figure 1; Muralikrishna and Renganathan, 1993; Tuor et al., 1993; Heinzkill et al., 1998). Lignolytic degradation of large organic complexes releases mono and oligomeric sulfonates which can be further mobilized by functional bacterial guilds as described above (Kertesz et al., 2007).

**THE ROLE OF ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZA IN SULFUR SUPPLY**

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are the most common form of mycorrhizal association and their evolution can be dated back 460 million years (Smith and Read, 1997). They form symbiosis with 77% of angiosperms, 45% of 84 species of gymnosperms and 52% of 400 species of fern and lycophyta (Wang and Qiu, 2006). The defining characteristic structure, the arbuscule, acts as an efficient site for plant-fungus metabolite exchange (Smith and Read, 1997). AM intra-radicular hyphae (IRH) provide the means for fungal extension within the host plant’s cortical region (Morton...
FIGURE 2 | Randomized accelerated maximum likelihood tree from truncated AsfA sequences obtained from aromatic sulfonate desulfurizing bacteria isolated from soil, rhizosphere, or hyphosphere alongside strains from culture collections.

and Benny, 1990), while extra-radicular hyphae (ERH) have three primary functions – nutrient acquisition, infection of host plants, and production of fertile spores (Nagahashi and Douds, 2000).

Available studies on the effects of AM colonization on uptake of S have presented equivocal results (Gray and Gerdemann, 1973; Cooper and Tinker, 1978; Rhodes and Gerdemann, 1978). However, studies have shown that the presence of AM fungi enhances S uptake for maize, clover (Gray and Gerdemann, 1973) and tomato (Cavagnaro et al., 2006). More recently, AM fungus G. intraradices on transformed carrot roots demonstrated uptake of reduced forms of S in vitro (Allen and Shachar-Hill, 2009). Rates of this uptake and transfer of reduced S were comparable to that of SO$_2^-$ when the latter was largely absent. Soil to root SO$_2^-$ translocation is demand driven, with strongly induced SO$_2^-$ absorption under conditions of S limitation. This rapid uptake of SO$_2^-$ in the rhizosphere leads to a zone of SO$_2^-$ depletion similar to that observed with P (Buchner et al., 2004). The AM fungal ERH could extend out past this zone of SO$_2^-$ depletion and may play an important role in provision of S under conditions of S limitation (Kertesz et al., 2007). Recent investigations revealed that AM fungi can influence the expression of plant sulfate transporters and as a consequence improve the S nutritional status of the host plant (Giovannetti et al., 2014). This is important for all hyphospheric and rhizospheric soil microbes as lack of readily available sulfate in soil can lead to a reduction in plant exudates (Alhendawi et al., 2005) and as a consequence can affect soil microbial activity due to reduced availability of photosynthate as a source of carbon.

Extra-radicular hyphae are surrounded by complex bacterial and fungal communities that interact with the plant-mycorrhiza partnership and sustain its metabolic functioning (Frey-Klett and Garbaye, 2005). AM formation effects microbial communities in the rhizosphere via alteration of root exudates and translocation of energy rich C compounds to the extended soil environment for instance in the form of hyphal exudates (Barea et al., 2002; Boer et al., 2005). AM hyphae have a surface area several orders of magnitude greater than the plant roots which provides a niche for functional microbial interactions essential for nutrient cycling (Gryndler et al., 2000). Diverse soil microbial communities are essential for soil fertility and plant vitality (Gianinazzi and Schüepp, 1994; Siciliano et al., 2014) and AM hyphae have been shown to host a larger community of sulfonate desulfurizing bacteria than bulk soil (Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2014). Sulfonate desulfurization has been found to be characteristically rhizo- and hyphospheric in nature (Figure 2) and dominant sulfonate desulfurizing hyphospheric bacteria were found to be able to putatively attach and migrate with hyphae (Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2014). Inoculation of Lolium perenne soil microcosms with AM fungi significantly increased percentage root colonization and the quantity of cultivable sulfonate mobilizing bacteria (Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2013). Increased abundance of desulfonating bacteria as a result of elevated AM root colonization may be beneficial for plant-S supply. Likewise, addition of 2-(N-morpholine)-ethanesulfonic acid (MES) to soil putatively stimulated sulfonate mobilizing bacteria whose metabolites may have been responsible for the enhanced ERH growth of Glomus...
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**intragradices** (Vilarino et al., 1997). This is important for maximizing S uptake as enhanced hyphal growth stemming from sulfonate mobilizing bacterial metabolites may further stimulate the proliferation of this community in a potential positive feedback loop. AM fungi may, therefore, play an increasingly important role in plant S metabolism not only through uptake and up-regulation of plant sulfate transporters but also through interaction with organo-S mobilizing microbes.

The hyphosphere of AM fungi can be regarded as a zone of increased bacterial abundance and activity, similar to the rhizosphere (Linderman, 1988; Andrade et al., 1998). Recent studies on the hyphosphere of ectomycorrhizae found that bacteria were co-migrating with the hyphae in vitro, putatively using a type III secretion system (T3SS) encoded infection needle for attachment (Warmink and van Elsas, 2008). This T3SS was also recently found to be present in aromatic sulfonate desulfurizing bacteria from the AM hyphosphere (Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2014), thus co-migration with ERH of AM fungi may be established via deployment of such an infection needle. While various pathogens are known to utilize T3SS for toxin injection into the host cells, nothing is known about any potential transfer of plant nutrients via such an infection needle to the mycorrhizal hyphae.

Currently, there is a profound knowledge gap when it comes to transfer of $S$ from associated microbes to the plant host and its fungal symbiont. Extracellular sulfatases release $S$ into soil solution which is then available to plant roots, mycorrhizal hyphae and various microbes, the release of $S$ from sulfonates is potentially more complicated. While the possibility exists of a targeted transfer of $S$ to the plant host via the ERH of AM fungi, there is currently no direct evidence provided in the literature. However, indirect release of $S$ from sulfonate desulfurizing bacteria is a possibility. These bacteria may be turned over through grazing by microscopic predators such as nematodes and protozoa in the microbial loop (Bonkowski, 2004; Irshad et al., 2011). Indeed, soil amendments with biochar resulted not only in a significant increase in aromatic sulfonate desulfurizing bacteria but also in a significant increase in bacteria feeding nematodes (Fox et al., 2014), thus nematode activity may enhance the release of sulfonate desulfurized $S$ in the rhizosphere and mycorrhizosphere/hyphosphere (*Figure 1*).

In conclusion, as a result of the limited nature of plant available $S$ in soil it is increasingly necessary to understand the pathways and interactions required to mobilize the sulfate-esters and sulfonates that dominate the soil $S$ pool. Saprotophic fungi can depolymerize large humic material releasing sulfate-esters to bacteria and fungi, and sulfonates to specialist bacteria in possession of a monooxygenase enzyme complex. Desulfurizing microbial populations have been shown to be enriched in the rhizosphere and hyphosphere, however, released $SO_2^{2-}$ is quickly assimilated leaving an $S$ depleted zone in the rhizosphere. AM fungi can extend past this zone, and indeed, are stimulated by organo-$S$ mobilizing bacterial metabolites to expand their hyphal networks, increasing the area of soil and volume of $S$ available to the plant. Additionally, inoculation with AM fungi has been shown to increase both percentage root colonization and the magnitude of the sulfonate mobilizing bacterial community. Inoculation practices, therefore, have huge potential to sustainably increase crop yield in areas where $S$ is becoming a limiting factor to growth.
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